

2-1-1999

Kentucky's Response to the Lautenberg Act: Curbing Domestic Violence Among Police

Victor E. Kappeler
Eastern Kentucky

Follow this and additional works at: <http://encompass.eku.edu/kjsrb>

 Part of the [Criminology and Criminal Justice Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kappeler, Victor E. (1999, February). Kentucky's Response to the Lautenberg Act: Curbing Domestic Violence Among Police. *Kentucky Justice & Safety Research Bulletin*, 1(2), 12.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Justice and Safety at Encompass. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Kentucky Justice and Safety Research Bulletin* by an authorized administrator of Encompass. For more information, please contact Linda.Sizemore@eku.edu.

Eastern Kentucky University
College of Law Enforcement
"A Program of Distinction"



Kentucky Justice & Safety

RECEIVED Research Bulletin

MAR 25 1999

Justice & Safety Research Center

Volume 1 Number 2

February 1999

RESEARCH TOPIC

This study empirically investigates the impact of the Lautenberg Act on policing in Kentucky to determine whether a significant proportion of law enforcement agencies have been affected by the law and to ascertain whether a significant percentage of Kentucky officers will be forced to find alternative employment.

RESEARCH ISSUES

The research explores whether Kentucky law enforcement agencies have or planned to have a domestic violence unit, whether they have a domestic violence policy, and whether they employ community policing. Information was also solicited about the number of officers convicted of domestic violence, agencies' formal responses to convictions, and individual officers' actions to mitigate the effects of a conviction. Information was solicited about formal organizational measures to see what steps law enforcement agencies have taken to detect convictions and to determine what actions take place when officers with convictions are discovered. Additionally, information was collected that measured law enforcement officials' understanding of the federal legislation and their concern about the Lautenberg Act.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

Kentucky law enforcement agencies have been largely unaffected by the Lautenberg Act. Relatively few officers and only a small percentage of departments have found police officers with domestic violence convictions. When these officers were discovered, most had their convictions expunged. Although Kentucky law enforcement agencies have not been very proactive in attempting to detect domestic violence among police, their efforts are not out of line with police efforts nationwide.

ENO LIBRARY

KENTUCKY'S RESPONSE TO THE LAUTENBERG ACT: CURBING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AMONG POLICE

Victor E. Kappeler
Eastern Kentucky University

In the 1970s police officers rarely invoked the formal legal process in response to cases of family violence. Police officers were trained to avoid arresting perpetrators and defuse or mediate these situations.¹ As a result police officers failed to treat women battering as a crime, especially when it involved misdemeanor assault. Instead, domestic violence was viewed as a private, family matter. This "classic" police response has been characterized as "virtual nonfeasance" with police evading "responsibility to protect victims of crimes within families."² Because of the tendency to ignore the criminal nature of battering and an unwillingness to arrest, particularly in misdemeanor cases, policing has received extensive scrutiny over its response to domestic violence.

Women's rights advocates and feminist scholars have long contended that the police resist treating battering as a significant crime worthy of attention. Research seems to support this contention, finding that officers continue to treat battering cases differently than other types of assaults. Studies suggest officers are apt to arrest in domestic assaults only when they believe that victims want the batterer arrested and when victims are perceived to be willing to "follow through" with prosecutions.³ Thus despite contemporary improvements in legislation, training and departmental policies, empirical data suggest that police officers are less apt to arrest in domestic than non-domestic assault cases.⁴ Perhaps, no other segment of the criminal justice system has received as much scrutiny as policing has for avoiding an enforcement response to domestic violence.

The points of view expressed in this bulletin series are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Council on Postsecondary Education, Eastern Kentucky University, or the College of Law Enforcement.

Although there is a wealth of research on police handling of domestic violence situations, very little is known about battering among police officers and their families. The paucity of data and inadequate theoretical development make it difficult to determine the magnitude of the problem, and it is equally difficult to estimate how this type of "police crime"⁵ impacts law enforcement agencies, personnel and policies. The literature on police deviance and culture suggests that law enforcement officers will be particularly loath to formally invoke the criminal process against "one of their own."⁶ This situation, however, may be changing because of the passage of new federal legislation on domestic violence.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF THE LAUTENBERG ACT

The Lautenberg Act, passed by the United States Congress in September 1996, prohibits individuals, including police officers, from owning firearms or ammunition if they have ever been convicted of an act of domestic violence. The Lautenberg Act was passed as an amendment to the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997. It modifies the Gun Control Act of 1968 by prohibiting possession of firearms and/or ammunition by anyone who has been convicted of domestic violence. While felony convictions of all kinds have traditionally been used to limit gun ownership, the law extends these provisions to misdemeanor convictions for domestic violence.

Under the provisions of the legislation, any misdemeanor offense that involves the use of physical force or the threat of a deadly weapon constitutes an act of domestic violence if it is committed by (a) a current or former spouse, (b) a past or present cohabitating partner, (c) a person with whom the perpetrator has a child in common, or (d) a parent or guardian. An offense need not be legally labeled "domestic violence" by state statute to invoke the provisions of the federal law as long as it meets the above criteria. Convictions for misdemeanor battering render citizens ineligible to possess firearms or ammunition regardless of whether the conviction occurred before or after the law was passed unless they can get the conviction expunged or secure a pardon. Citizens in possession of firearms or ammunition in violation of this law are instructed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Firearms, and

Tobacco (BATF) to surrender these items to their attorney, local law enforcement officials, or a licensed gun dealer. Violations of the law are punishable by a fine of \$250,000 and/or imprisonment up to 10 years.

Most federal legislation having firearms provisions exempts police and military employees⁷ and the Lautenberg Act did not specifically set out to break new ground in this respect. Ironically, lawmakers supportive of "guns-rights" removed the military and police exemption in an attempt to defeat the bill as originally proposed. The approach failed and the bill passed as part of an all night legislative hearing conducted by a Congress desperate to pass an appropriations bill to avert a government shutdown. Apparently, then, the bill was passed before significant opposition could be marshaled. Shortly after passage of the bill, attempts were made to exempt police and military personnel and eliminate the retroactive provision of the act. Once the original legislation passed, however, it became difficult to argue for a repeal. Doing so might suggest police officers and the military should be treated more leniently than the general public.

Nonetheless, some local and national police organizations sought to modify the act. They argued that the law will involve extensive labor to identify officers with convictions and that it may result in the firing of large numbers of officers, some of whom may be very competent but have older convictions of domestic violence on their records. These organizations argued the law is too broad and that its retroactive provision is unfair to officers who might have pled guilty to get a charge resolved rather than mount an expensive and drawn out legal defense without ever knowing that it would cost them their jobs.

In contrast, advocates of the legislation tend to quote relatively high estimates of battering by police officers and stress the need for laws which remove batterers from the occupation. They argue that police officers should not be treated differently than other batterers in society and ask why these officers should be granted special privileges and be allowed to possess weapons when other batterers cannot. Advocates also argue that police batterers are probably less apt to enforce domestic violence laws and that they should, therefore, be removed from the profession. The media's intense focus on the topics and the issues raised by both sides have given unprecedented attention to the issues over the last two years.⁸ Unfortunately, there is a tendency for

both sides, as well as the media, to rely upon relatively few studies on the topic with little or no mention of the empirical deficiencies of these studies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Very little literature directly addresses battering committed by police officers. A search of the literature located two such studies, only one of which is published. The unpublished study by Johnson reportedly surveyed 728 police officers and 479 spouses of police officers in two East Coast departments during the 1980s.⁹ Johnson found that 40 percent of the officers surveyed indicated they had behaved violently toward their spouses and/or children in the previous six months. Ten percent of the officers' spouses indicated they had experienced physical violence at the hands of their police-husbands. Abuse, however, was not defined, and the context of the acts is not clear. This makes it impossible to determine what types of acts occurred and whether the violence was aggressive or defensive in nature.

The second published study conducted by Neidig, Russell, and Seng¹⁰ more clearly defined abuse, but failed to establish the context in which the violence occurred. The researchers surveyed 385 male police officers, 115 female police-spouses, and 40 female police officers attending in-service training and law enforcement conferences in a southwestern state. Using a modified version of the Conflict Tactics Scale (CTS),¹¹ respondents were asked whether they had engaged in serious or minor family violence. With respect to minor violence, 27 percent of the male officers reported being victimized by their spouses, compared to 25 percent who reported having engaged in violence. In contrast police-wives and female officers reported that they themselves were more apt to commit minor violence (30% and 27% respectively) than to experience it at the hands of their police-husbands (25% of the wives and 17% of the female officers). These differences, however, did not reach statistical significance. In a similar vein, male officers were more apt to report that they had experienced severe violence at the hands of their spouses (6%) than to report that they themselves had committed violence (3%). Wives of officers reported that they were equally likely to commit violence themselves or to be victimized by their police-husbands (3%). In

contrast 20 percent of female officers reported that they had experienced severe violence committed by their spouses, and none reported having committed severe violence. Small sample sizes make it impossible, however, to determine whether these differences were significant. In general, then, the findings of this single study suggest that mutual violence exists in police families.

Perhaps more important, the Neidig study concludes by asserting that violence in law enforcement families is disproportionately high when compared to military families and the general population. The study reports that 41 percent of law enforcement families have experienced some form of "relationship violence" compared to 32 percent of military families¹² and 16 percent of the general population.¹³

This study empirically investigates the impact of the Lautenberg Act on law enforcement agencies in Kentucky to determine whether a significant proportion of agencies have been affected by the law and to determine whether a significant percentage of Kentucky officers will be forced to find alternative employment. The study also measures whether law enforcement officials are aware of the new legislation and describes what actions agencies are taking to comply with the legislation. These findings form the basis for policy recommendations to either bring Kentucky law enforcement agencies into compliance with the legislation or to enhance their responses. The study discusses the impact of the law as perceived by Kentucky law enforcement officials.

METHODOLOGY

Survey

A 45-item survey was developed for this study. The survey measured basic demographic information including the size of the population served by each law enforcement agency, the number and gender of officers employed, and the government level of the agency (municipal, county, or state). It also asked whether Kentucky agencies had or planned to have a domestic violence unit, whether they have a domestic violence policy, and whether they employ community policing. The survey solicited basic information about the number of law enforcement officers convicted of

domestic violence, the agencies' formal responses to those convictions, and individual officers' actions to mitigate the effects of a conviction. The survey also asked respondents to indicate what organizational measures they use to detect convictions and to determine what actions take place when officers with domestic violence convictions are discovered. Additionally, information was collected to measure respondents' understandings of the federal legislation and its requirements. Finally, law enforcement officials were asked to discuss their perceptions of the impact of the law and to describe any activities undertaken to influence legislation in this area of law.

Population and Sample Characteristics

The population for this study was all municipal and county law enforcement agencies in Kentucky. This resulted in a population of 401 law enforcement agencies.¹⁴ Of the 401 agencies in the population, 281 were municipal departments and 120 were sheriff departments.¹⁵ An initial mailing was made to each police chief or sheriff in this population in November 1998. It included the survey and a cover letter along with a promise of confidentiality. A second wave of surveys was administered in December 1998 to all non-respondents. The two waves of mailings resulted in 217 surveys being returned for a total response rate of 54 percent. This response rate is adequate to allow meaningful statistical analysis.

The sample consisted of 217 respondents and represents the experiences of over 4,400 law enforcement officers in Kentucky. Approximately 76 percent of the responding law enforcement agencies (n = 164) were municipal police departments, while 24 percent (n = 53) were county departments. Eighty-four percent of the agencies were in small jurisdictions (25,000 inhabitants and under), 10 percent were in medium jurisdictions (26,000-50,000), and six were percent in large jurisdictions (51,000 and above). The number of law enforcement officers employed by agencies ranged from one to 688, with the average being about 20 officers and the mode being four officers. Obviously, these figures varied significantly across Kentucky.

RESULTS

Domestic Violence Policies and Units

The vast majority of departments (91%) reported having a general domestic violence policy. Interestingly, the size of the agency, the presence of a domestic violence unit and the size of the jurisdiction (city size) were not significantly associated with the existence of a domestic violence policy.¹⁶ Neither was the political subdivision of law enforcement agencies. Nearly, 92 percent of municipal agencies had a policy compared to 89 percent of the county departments. Departments with domestic violence units were not significantly more likely to have a domestic violence policy. Agencies that reported using community policing, however, were significantly more likely to have domestic violence policies (93%) than those that did not (82%). Far fewer law enforcement agencies had developed domestic violence policies dealing specifically with the issue of domestic violence committed by police officers. Only, 44 percent of Kentucky law enforcement agencies had a policy dealing specifically with this issue.

Table 1. Comparisons of Kentucky's Domestic Violence Policies and Units

	Domestic Violence Policy		Domestic Violence Unit	
	No	Yes	No	Yes
<i>Political Subdivision</i>				
Municipal	14 (8.5)	150 (91.5)	153 (93.3)	11 (6.7)
County	6 (11.3)	47 (88.7)	48 (90.6)	5 (9.4)
<i>Agency Size</i>				
Small	11 (15.7)	59 (84.3)	65 (93.8)	5 (7.1)*
Medium	5 (6.7)	70 (93.3)	75 (100)	0 (0)
Large	4 (5.6)	68 (94.4)	61 (84.7)	11 (15.3)
<i>Size of Jurisdiction</i>				
Small	14 (7.8)	165 (92.2)	171 (95.5)	8 (4.5)*
Medium	2 (9.0)	20 (90.1)	19 (86.4)	3 (13.6)
Large	1 (8.3)	11 (91.7)	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)
<i>Domestic Violence Unit</i>				
No	19 (9.5)	182 (90.5)	---	---
Yes	1 (6.3)	15 (93.7)	---	---
<i>Community Policing</i>				
No	6 (18.2)	27 (81.8)**	29 (87.9)	4 (12.1)
Yes	12 (6.6)	169 (93.4)	169 (93.4)	12 (6.6)

*p=.00; **p=.03

Kentucky Justice & Safety Research Bulletin

Only a very small percentage of the departments (7%) had a domestic violence unit, although an additional eight percent reported that they planned to institute one in the following year. This finding is most likely the product of the large number of small agencies in Kentucky where it may be impracticable to create special units. Domestic violence units are significantly more common in larger agencies and jurisdictions; 33 percent of the departments in the largest jurisdictions, 14 percent in the medium areas, and 4.5 percent in the small areas had special units. Political subdivision was not significantly associated with the presence of a domestic violence unit; municipal and county agencies were equally likely to have units.

The majority of departments (85%) professed adoption of community policing. Neither the size of the agency nor the size of the jurisdiction were significantly related to a department's inclination to use community policing. Municipalities, however, were significantly more likely than county agencies to employ community policing (88% and 72% respectively). Departments using community policing also were significantly more apt to have domestic violence policies (93%) than departments without community policing (82%). However, departments using community policing were not significantly more likely to have domestic violence units (7%) than departments without community policing (12%). Likewise, 57 percent of law enforcement agencies that used community policing had a specific policy on domestic violence by police officers compared with 44 percent of agencies without community policing. These findings suggest that while the size of an agency may determine its ability to create a domestic violence unit, an agency's policing philosophy may better predict its attention to policy development.

Knowledge of the Legislation

Three survey items were used to assess respondents' knowledge and awareness of the new legislation. Rather than testing the individual respondent's knowledge of the law, respondents were asked whether certain actions were allowed in their departments.¹⁷ The first item stated that: "Police officers who have been convicted of a misdemeanor domestic violence charge may possess weapons, but only while on duty." The second item stated that: "Police officers may possess weapons on duty if convicted of a misdemeanor domestic violence charge as long as this charge occurred over five years ago." The third

item stated that: "Police officers may not possess weapons, even while on duty, if they have been convicted of a misdemeanor assault involving someone they cohabit with or someone with whom they have a child in common." Virtually all of the respondents (94%) correctly reported that officers were prohibited from carrying a weapon if they had misdemeanor, domestic violence convictions, and 92 percent correctly reported that officers were not allowed to possess guns if the conviction was over five years old. Respondents appeared somewhat less sure on the third question; 25 percent incorrectly reported that the law did not apply if the conviction involved cohabitating relationships or relationships where a couple have a child in common.

These individual items were combined to form a "knowledge scale" which was used in subsequent analyses. It is a simple additive scale with a range of 0 to 3. The mean for the scale was 2.1 which suggests that most respondents had good working knowledge of the law.¹⁸

Table 2. Comparisons of Kentucky Law Enforcement Officials' Knowledge of the Legislation

	Limited	Good
<i>Political Subdivision</i>		
Municipal	13 (8.1)	147 (92.9)**
County	8 (17.8)	37 (82.2)
<i>Agency Size</i>		
Small	0 (0)	62 (100)*
Medium	11 (15.3)	61 (84.7)
Large	10 (14.1)	61 (85.9)
<i>Jurisdiction Size</i>		
Small	16 (9.4)	154 (90.6)**
Medium	1 (4.8)	20 (95.2)
Large	4 (33.3)	8 (66.7)
<i>Domestic Violence Unit</i>		
No	20 (10.6)	169 (98.4)
Yes	1 (6.3)	15 (93.7)
<i>Domestic Violence Policy</i>		
No	0 (0)	18 (100)
Yes	21 (11.2)	166 (88.8)
<i>Community Policing</i>		
No	1 (3.3)	29 (96.7)
Yes	20 (11.4)	155 (88.6)

*p=.00; **p=.05

Few variables were associated with knowledge of the law. All respondents from small agencies had a good working knowledge of the law while about 85 percent of the respondents from medium and large agencies had a good working knowledge of the law. Similarly, respondents from small and medium size

Kentucky Justice & Safety Research Bulletin

jurisdictions had a significantly better working knowledge of the law than did their counterparts from large jurisdictions. This finding may be the product of agency size, where police executives in small agencies must have a better overall knowledge of the law while their counterparts in larger agencies may rely on legal advisors and other specialists for information. Municipal agencies had a better working knowledge of the law than their county counterparts. Ninety-two percent of municipalities had a good working knowledge of the law whereas only 82 percent of county agencies did so.

Departmental Action

Respondents were asked about a variety of organizational responses to the legislation in several survey items, and they were provided with an open ended question which asked them to elaborate upon any actions which were not addressed in the survey. Only 18 percent of the departments reported that they have instituted counseling programs or other preventive measures to assist officers who may have problems with domestic violence. Surprisingly, only 27 percent of the departments specifically included questions on their employment applications to screen for prior domestic violence convictions. In contrast, the vast majority of departments (84%) reported that they run criminal background checks on all potential applicants and check misdemeanor convictions to ensure that none involve domestic violence (85%). Only a small percentage (17%) of departments, however, run annual background checks to detect domestic violence convictions after employment, and only about a third (36%) of the departments had requested that prosecutors or other court officials notify them in the event that an officer was involved in domestic violence.

It appears that police departments have made few organizational changes to detect batterers in blue. Few departments have taken the very basic steps of altering their application forms or modifying their personnel policies. Even fewer departments plan to routinely conduct background checks on an on-going basis. Instead, it appears clear that many departments believe that an initial background check will suffice in this area, and in all likelihood, most of these departments probably have been running pre-employment checks for quite some time.

A slight majority (54%) of the departments indicated that they distributed memos to notify police officers about the new

legislation. Departments were not very prone to change their policies; only about 39 percent of the departments had modified their personnel policies, and only 46 percent had changed their domestic violence policies in response to the legislation. Even fewer (27%) had modified their internal affairs (IA) process.

Table 3. Comparisons of Kentucky Law Enforcement Agencies Actions to Address Legislation

	<i>Level of Departmental Action</i>		
	Limited	Moderate	Extensive
<i>Political Subdivision</i>			
Municipal	58 (35.4)	71 (43.3)	35 (21.3)*
County	30 (61.2)	12 (24.5)	7 (14.3)
<i>Agency Size</i>			
Small	32 (47.8)	21 (31.3)	14 (20.9)
Medium	35 (47.3)	28 (37.8)	11 (14.9)
Large	21 (29.2)	34 (47.2)	17 (23.6)
<i>Jurisdiction Size</i>			
Small	72 (41.1)	66 (37.7)	37 (21.1)
Medium	11 (50.0)	9 (40.9)	2 (9.0)
Large	5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	0 (0)
<i>Domestic Violence Unit</i>			
No	85 (42.5)	78 (39.0)	37 (18.5)
Yes	3 (23.1)	5 (38.5)	5 (38.5)
<i>Domestic Violence Policy</i>			
No	8 (40.0)	9 (45.0)	3 (15.0)
Yes	80 (41.5)	74 (38.3)	39 (20.2)
<i>Community Policing</i>			
No	21 (70.0)	8 (26.7)	1 (3.3)*
Yes	66 (36.7)	75 (41.7)	39 (21.7)
<i>Training</i>			
Limited	62 (51.2)	45 (37.2)	14 (11.6)*
Moderate	23 (29.9)	28 (36.4)	26 (33.8)
Extensive	3 (21.4)	9 (64.3)	2 (14.3)
<i>Knowledge</i>			
Limited	9 (42.9)	8 (38.1)	4 (19.0)
Good	70 (38.7)	75 (41.4)	36 (19.9)

*p=.00

Survey items also were used to create an action scale which assessed departments' cumulative efforts to address the new law. The scale ranges from 0 to 10 and evaluates whether departments have (a) altered their employment applications, (b) completed background checks, (c) planned to implement annual background checks, (d) circulated memos, (e) instituted some type of waiver/form, (f) modified IA processes, (g) modified policies of any kind (domestic violence, personnel, or other policies), (h) taken preventive actions, or (i) engaged in other types of action. The scale had a mean of 4.3 which indicates that while Kentucky law enforcement agencies were somewhat active in addressing the new law, there was still room for improvement. The national score by law enforcement agencies on this same scale was only slightly higher.¹⁹

Kentucky Justice & Safety Research Bulletin

Several variables were associated with a department's willingness to act. Municipal departments were more likely to have taken extensive action to address the legislation than were county departments. Interestingly, departments that professed to have adopted community policing were more likely to have taken action on this issue than those that reported not adopting the police philosophy. Likewise those departments that had engaged in training on the issue were more likely to have developed courses of action to address the problem. In all it would seem that those agencies that are more progressive in philosophy and training are also more proactive in developing solutions to the problem of domestic violence among police. Table 4 presents data from this study as well as data from a national study of domestic violence by police. The data show that Kentucky law enforcement agencies fall short in some areas that could help prevent domestic violence among officers, but excel in other areas.

Table 4. Comparisons of Kentucky and National Law Enforcement Actions to Comply with Legislation

Action	Percentage Taking Action	
	Kentucky	Nation
1. Specifically include questions on the employment application asking whether applicants have any prior convictions for domestic violence.	27	35
2. Run criminal background checks for all potential applicants for misdemeanor domestic violence offenses.	84	97
3. Check all misdemeanor convictions on criminal background checks to ensure that none involve domestic violence.	85	95
4. Run criminal background checks for all sworn officers each year including misdemeanor convictions.	17	16
5. Request prosecutors or other court officials to notify misdemeanor domestic violence charge.	36	31
6. Have distributed memos to notify police officers that misdemeanor domestic violence convictions may have an impact upon their ability to carry weapons.	54	75
7. Have modified personnel policies to include a statement that eligibility to possess a weapon is a condition of employment for police officers.	40	37
8. Have modified domestic violence policies to notify officers that a domestic violence conviction may impact upon their ability to carry a weapon.	46	37
9. IAD and citizen complaint process has been integrated in a way to identify officers who engage in domestic violence.	27	61
10. Have instituted counseling programs or other preventative measures to assist officers who may have problems with domestic violence before they result in a conviction.	18	60

The Effect on Departments

Contrary to the self report studies on domestic violence among police, but consistent with the police deviancy literature, a very small number of departments and only a handful of officers were directly affected by the law. Only 12 officers were reported to have been involved in a domestic violence conviction that would render them ineligible to carry a firearm. Only about four percent of Kentucky departments identified officers with convictions for misdemeanor domestic violence offenses. Departments reported that of the 12 officers identified, two were terminated, two were forced to secure legal counsel, and seven had their convictions expunged. Presumably the remaining officer's case had not yet been resolved. In short, 58 percent of the officers detected with domestic violence convictions were presumably still on the job performing police functions. Given the relatively few officers and departments that have been affected by the legislation, one is hard pressed to understand the national concern over this legislation or media reports that provided unrealistically high estimates of the number of police officers who would be adversely affected.

Table 5. Comparisons of Effect of the Legislation on Kentucky Law Enforcement Agencies

	Not Affected	Affected
<i>Political Subdivision</i>		
Municipal	156 (95.1)	8 (4.9)
County	52 (98.1)	1 (1.9)
<i>Agency Size</i>		
Small	70 (100)	0 (0)*
Medium	72 (96.0)	3 (4.0)
Large	66 (91.7)	6 (8.3)
<i>Jurisdiction Size</i>		
Small	173 (96.7)	6 (3.3)
Medium	20 (90.9)	2 (9.1)
Large	11 (91.7)	1 (8.3)
<i>Domestic Violence Unit</i>		
No	193 (96.0)	8 (4.0)
Yes	15 (93.8)	1 (6.2)
<i>Domestic Violence Policy</i>		
No	19 (95.0)	1 (5.0)
Yes	189 (96.0)	8 (4.0)
<i>Community Policing</i>		
No	33 (100)	0 (0)
Yes	172 (95.0)	9 (5.0)
<i>Training</i>		
Limited	118(96.7)	4 (3.3)
Moderate	76 (95.0)	4 (5.0)
Extensive	13 (92.9)	1 (7.1)
<i>Knowledge</i>		
Limited	19 (90.5)	2 (9.5)
Good	177 (96.2)	7 (3.8)
<i>Action</i>		
Limited	84 (95.5)	4 (4.5)
Moderate	79 (95.2)	4 (4.8)
Extensive	41 (97.6)	1 (2.4)

*p=.05

Predictably, larger departments were more affected by the legislation; eight percent of departments serving in large jurisdictions had experienced some form of direct effect compared to four percent of medium size departments and zero percent for small departments. No other variables accounted for the different rates at which departments were affected by the legislation. Despite the limited effect of the legislation, Kentucky law enforcement officials expressed concern over the law. More than 76 percent of law enforcement officials expressed either serious or moderate concern, while just 12 percent expressed only minor or no concern over the legislation. None of the variables collected in the survey were significantly related to the level of concern Kentucky police officials expressed over the legislation. This finding suggests that law enforcement officials' views of the legislation may have been shaped by media coverage of the issue more than any real effect of the legislation.

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The passage of the Lautenberg Act was met with intense, but short-lived media attention. Much speculation surrounded passage of the act with proponents and opponents making claims about the effect it would have on law enforcement. While many predicted that large numbers of agencies and officers would be adversely affected, the present research indicates that the law has had a minimal effect on police officers and law enforcement agencies in Kentucky. In fact, an insignificant number of enforcement officers and agencies have been adversely affected by the legislation. Of the relatively few officers detected with domestic violence convictions, most had their convictions expunged and a majority of the remaining officers' cases had yet to be resolved.

While the vast majority of Kentucky law enforcement agencies had a good working knowledge of the legislation and most expressed concern about its provisions, far fewer took more than the most basic actions to conform with the new law's provisions. While this approach is in keeping with national trends, it leaves agencies vulnerable to civil liability should an officer with a domestic violence conviction go undetected and commit an act of violence in the course of employment. Law enforcement agencies, generally, need

to be more proactive in their efforts to prevent and detect domestic violence among their officers.

By and large neither proponents nor opponents' predictions and concerns with the legislation have come to fruition, and the actual number of batterers in blue remains largely unknown. We do know, however, that the number of officers with convictions detected to date has been very small. The lackluster police response to this legislation renders the law largely symbolic, but subjects departments to civil liability risks and charges that law enforcement is not being responsive to either the legislation or the issues surrounding family violence.

NOTES

1. Berk, S. and Loseke, D. (1980). Handling family violence: Situational determinants of police arrest in domestic disturbances. *Law and Society Review*, 15 (2): 317-346; Goolkasian, G. (1986). *Confronting domestic violence: A guide for criminal justice agencies*. National Institute of Justice, Research in Brief. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice; Goolkasian, G. (1986). *Confronting domestic violence: The role of criminal court judges*. National Institute of Justice, *Research in Brief*, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice; Loving, N. (1981). *Spouse abuse: A curriculum guide for police trainers*. Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum; Waits, K. (1985). The criminal justice system's response to battering: Understanding the problem, forging the solutions. *Washington Law Review*, 60: 267-329.
2. Buzawa, E. and Buzawa, C. (1993). The scientific evidence is not conclusive: Arrest is no panacea. In R. Gelles and D. Loseke (Eds.), *Current controversies on family violence* (pp. 337-356). Newbury Park, CA: Sage, p. 338.
3. Berk and Loseke (1980); Buzawa, E. and Austin, T. (1993). Determining police response to domestic violence victims: The role of victim preferences. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 36 (5): 610-623; Dolon, R., Hendricks, J. and Meagher, M. (1986). Police practices and attitudes toward domestic violence. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 14 (3): 187-192; Dutton, D. (1988). *The domestic assault of women: Psychological and criminal justice perspectives*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon; Worden, R. and Pollitz, A. (1984). Police arrests in domestic disturbances: A further look. *Law and Society Review*, 18 (1): 105-119.
4. Eigenberg, H., Kappeler, V., and Scarborough, K. (1996).

Kentucky Justice & Safety Research Bulletin

- Contributory factors affecting arrest in domestic and non-domestic assaults. *American Journal of Police*, 15(4): 55-77.
5. Kappeler, V.E., Sluder, R. & Alpert, G.P. (1998). *Forces of deviance: Understanding the dark side of the force*. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
 6. This attitude is well documented in the literature on police culture and deviance generally. See, Kappeler, Sluder, and Alpert (1998); Reuss-Ianni, E. (1983). *Two cultures of policing*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books; Savitz, L. (1971). The dimensions of police loyalty. In Hann, H. (Ed.) *Police in urban society*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage; Skolnick, J. H. (1966). *Justice without trial: Law enforcement in a democratic society*. New York, NY: Wiley; Stoddard, E. R. (1968). The informal code of police deviancy: A group approach to blue-collar crime. *Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science*, 59(2):201-213. The attitude is particularly acute regarding women in law enforcement. See, Balkin, J. (1988). Why policemen don't like policewomen. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 16 (1): 29-38; Christopher, W. (1991). *Summary: Report of the Independent Commission on the Los Angeles Police Department*. Los Angeles, CA: City of Los Angeles; Haarr, R.N. (1997). Patterns of interaction in a police patrol bureau: Race and gender barriers to integration. *Justice Quarterly*, 14(1): 53-85; Hunt, J. (1990). The logic of sexism among police. *Women & Criminal Justice*, 1(2):3-30; Kraska, P.B. and Kappeler, V.E. (1995). To serve and pursue: Exploring police sexual violence against women. *Justice Quarterly*, 12(1):85-111; Morash, M. and Haarr, R. (1995). Gender, workplace problems and stress in policewomen." *Justice Quarterly*, 12(1):113-140.
 7. This is similar to the VAWA provision which allows police officers with a valid protective order to possess a weapon while on duty.
 8. For examples of these issues and media coverage see, Lautenberg, F.R. (1997). Domestic violence gun ban. *The Washington Post*, April 3; Levinson, A. (1996). Federal gun ban hard to enforce. *Associated Press*, December 11; Pace, D. (1997) GOP blocks retroactive gun ban. *Associated Press*, January 8; Reibstein, L. and Engen, J. (1996). One strike and you're out. *Time*, December 23.
 9. cited in Neidig, P., Russell, H., and Seng, A. (1992). Interpersonal aggression in law enforcement families: A preliminary investigation. *Police Studies*, 15 (1): 30-38.
 10. Neidig, Russell, and Seng (1992).
 11. For more information about the CTS see Straus, M. (1993). Physical assaults by wives—A major social problem. In R.J. Gelles and D. J. Loseke (Eds.), *Current controversies on family violence* (pp. 67-87). Newbury Park, CA: Sage; Straus, M. and Gelles, R. (1990). *Physical violence in American families*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
 12. Neidig, 1991, cited in Neidig, Russell, and Seng (1992).
 13. Straus, M. and Gelles, R. (1990).
 14. One municipal police agency had been disbanded, and two surveys were returned by the post office as undeliverable.
 15. Consolidated law enforcement organizations like the Lexington Police were coded as municipal agencies and special police were excluded from the population.
 16. All tests of significance are based on chi-square analysis.
 17. This resulted in a measure of departmental compliance and individual respondent's knowledge of the law.
 18. "Good" and "limited" were defined by scoring agencies with 0 or 1 correct response as having limited knowledge and agencies with 2 or 3 correct responses as having good knowledge.
 19. All references to national data and the data contained in Table 4 are part of an on-going research project being conducted by the author.

EASTERN KENTUCKY UNIVERSITY

College of Law Enforcement

Master of Science in Criminal Justice Options In:

- General Criminal Justice
- Correctional & Juvenile Justice Studies
- Police Administration

The Criminal Justice Graduate Program in the College of Law Enforcement seeks to fill 24 assistantships, to begin Fall 1999. Positions are in the Master's program where students choose a course of study in either Criminal Justice, Police Administration, or Correctional and Juvenile Justice Studies. All candidates must have earned a bachelor's degree from an accredited university by the time of appointment and have an acceptable undergraduate G.P.A. and GRE scores. Positions are half time research positions and most candidates are provided a \$10,000 per year stipend. Graduate assistants are expected to enroll full time in a graduate course of study. Completed application must be postmarked by June 1, 1999.

Graduate Faculty

James Anderson, Ph.D.
Gary Cordner, Ph.D.
Terry Cox, Ph.D.
Preston Elrod, Ph.D.
Charles Fields, Ph.D.
Bill Forester, J.D.
Richard Givan, J.D.
Victor Kappeler, Ph.D.
Peter Kraska, Ph.D.
Irina Soderstrom, Ph.D.

Kevin Minor, Ph.D.
Bill Nixon, J.D.
Gary Potter, Ph.D.
Thomas Reed, Ph.D.
Kathryn Scarborough, Ph.D.
Bankole Thompson, Ph.D.
Bill Tillett, Ed.D.
James Wells, Ph.D.
Bruce Wolford, Ph.D.

Applications or inquiries may be made at any time by contacting:

Dr. Victor E. Kappeler, Director
Criminal Justice Graduate Program
Eastern Kentucky University
521 Lancaster Avenue, Stratton 467
Richmond, KY 40475
(606) 622-1980

Dean & Director
College of Law Enforcement
Program of Distinction

Gary W. Corder

Director
Justice & Safety Research Center

Kevin I. Minor

Justice & Safety
Research Center Advisory Committee

Committee Chairs

Charles B. Fields
Bankole Thompson

Committee Members

James F. Anderson
H. Preston Elrod
Victor E. Kappeler
Terry L. Kline
Gary W. Potter
Irina R. Soderstrom
Norman M. Spain

**College of Law Enforcement
Eastern Kentucky University
"A Program of Distinction"**

Eastern Kentucky University's College of Law Enforcement has 1,600 majors and 39 faculty positions in three academic departments: Correctional and Juvenile Justice Studies, Police Studies, and Loss Prevention and Safety. One of the leading programs in the nation, the college also offers two master's degrees and houses a training center, a technical assistance center, and a research center.

The College of Law Enforcement was recently awarded a "Program of Distinction" in Justice and Safety by the Commonwealth of Kentucky. This designation both recognizes the college's accomplishments in the past and challenges the college to further develop its teaching, service, and research contributions to Kentucky, the nation, and the world. This bulletin series is one tangible product of the Program of Distinction and evidence of the significant role played by the college in both education and practice in the justice and safety fields.

To learn more about the College, please visit www.len.eku.edu.

College of Law Enforcement
354 Stratton Building
Eastern Kentucky University
521 Lancaster Avenue
Richmond, Kentucky 40475-3102
(BCode-5-24074)

Non-Profit
Organization
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Richmond, Kentucky
Permit No. 2

S3 P1 *****5-DIGIT 40475
EAST KY UNIV LAW LIB
STRATTON BUILDING
RICHMOND KY 40475

