

3-2017

# Organizational Conflict Navigation: Building a Comprehensive Conflict Management Course

Beth Polin  
*Eastern Kentucky University*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://encompass.eku.edu/fs\\_research](http://encompass.eku.edu/fs_research)

 Part of the [Business Administration, Management, and Operations Commons](#), and the [Organizational Behavior and Theory Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Polin, B. (2017). Organizational conflict navigation: Building a comprehensive conflict management course. Refereed Proceedings of the Appalachian Research in Business Symposium. 128-133. Boone, NC.

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by Encompass. It has been accepted for inclusion in EKU Faculty and Staff Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Encompass. For more information, please contact [Linda.Sizemore@eku.edu](mailto:Linda.Sizemore@eku.edu).

# **Appalachian Research in Business Symposium (ARBS) 2017 PROCEEDINGS 4th Annual Conference Volume II**

March 30-31, 2017



**Appalachian State University  
Boone, NC**



**Edited by:  
G. David Shows and Pia A. Albinsson  
Appalachian State University  
Boone, NC**

## **2017 Appalachian Research in Business Symposium**

### **Conference Committee**

Sandra Vannoy, Appalachian State University (Conference Chair)

Donna Lindabury, Appalachian State University (Conference Administrator)

Kristen King, Eastern Kentucky University

Carolyn Rochelle, East Tennessee State University

Steve Ha, Western Carolina University

It is our pleasure to present the *Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> Annual Appalachian Research in Business Symposium* from the 2017 conference held March 30-31 hosted by the Walker College of Business at Appalachian State University. The Appalachian Research in Business Symposium provides a venue for presenting new research, discovering contemporary ideas, and building connections among scholars at Appalachian State University, Eastern Kentucky University, East Tennessee State University, and Western Carolina University.

### **Acknowledgements:**

The Conference Committee for the 2017 Appalachian Research in Business Symposium wishes to extend our gratitude to the Walker College of Business and Appalachian State University for hosting this year's event. Additionally, we would like to extend our appreciation to all of the participants and to those colleagues who contributed significant effort in service to the conference:

### **Keynote Speaker:**

Dr. John Silvia, Chief Economist at Wells Fargo

### **Website, Registration and Events:**

Haley Childers

Amy Sanders

Kim Bock

### **Session Chairs:**

Mary Stolberg, Appalachian State University

Rebecca Hutchins, Appalachian State University

Dawn Medlin, Appalachian State University

Shawn Bergman, Appalachian State University

Joseph Cazier, Appalachian State University

Jeffrey Hobbs, Appalachian State University

David Shows, Appalachian State University

Jason Xiong, Appalachian State University

Ken White, Appalachian State University

Rachel Shinnar, Appalachian State University

**Session Chairs cont.**

Chris McNeil, Appalachian State University  
Mike Roberson, Eastern Kentucky University  
Pia Albinsson, Appalachian State University  
Dana Clark, Appalachian State University  
Peter Groothuis, Appalachian State University  
Kristen King, Eastern Kentucky University  
Joe Walsh, Appalachian State University

**Reviewers:**

Terry Keasler, Appalachian State University	Jane Livingston, Western Carolina University
Pia Albinsson, Appalachian State University	Jon Marvel, Western Carolina University
Joseph Cazier, Appalachian State University	Steve Morse, Western Carolina University
Charlie Chen, Appalachian State University	Sean Mulholland, Western Carolina University
Carol Kline, Appalachian State University	Sanjay Rajagopal, Western Carolina University
Peter Groothuis, Appalachian State University	David Tyler, Western Carolina University
Jeff Hobbs, Appalachian State University	BJ White, Western Carolina University
Alan Singer, Appalachian State University	Ed Wright, Western Carolina University
Mary Stolberg, Appalachian State University	
Joe Walsh, Appalachian State University	
John Whitehead, Appalachian State University	
David Shows, Appalachian State University	
Chris McNeil, Appalachian State University	
Rebecca Hutchins, Appalachian State University	
Doug Roberts, Appalachian State University	
Mahmoud Yasin, East Tennessee State University	
Dana Harrison, East Tennessee State University	
Richard Gregory, East Tennessee State University	
Gary Shelley, East Tennessee State University	
Kelly Atkins, East Tennessee State University	
Allen Gorman, East Tennessee State University	
Kent Schneider, East Tennessee State University	
Carolyn Rochelle, East Tennessee State University	
Beth Polin, Eastern Kentucky University	
Karen Hopkins, Eastern Kentucky University	
Kristen King, Eastern Kentucky University	
Marcel Robles, Eastern Kentucky University	
Laura Barthel, Eastern Kentucky University	
Maggie Abney, Eastern Kentucky University	
Mike Roberson, Eastern Kentucky University	
Phil Boutin, Eastern Kentucky University	
Qian Xiao, Eastern Kentucky University	
Weiling Zhang, Eastern Kentucky University	
Dan Clapper, Western Carolina University	
Theresa Domagalski, Western Carolina University	
Yang Fan, Western Carolina University	

**Proceedings Editors:**

G. David Shows and Pia A. Albinsson

**Sample Citation:**

Albinsson, Pia A. and B. Yasanthi Perera (2017), “Non-ownership: A Consumer Perspective of Clothing Libraries,” in the *Annual Proceedings of the Appalachian Research in Business Symposium*, Vol II. G. David Shows and Pia A. Albinsson, Editors, Boone, NC, pp. 119-123

## Table of Contents

21ST CENTURY “PHARMA TALK”: TAKING SOCIAL MEDIA FROM TALK TO ACTION.....	8
Vorontsova, Nadja, Western Carolina University	
Rader, Scott, Western Carolina University	
A GUIDE TO ONLINE TOOLS FOR ENTREPRENEURS.....	15
Lahm, Jr., Robert J., Western Carolina University	
A HOLISTIC EDUCATION APPROACH FOR ASSESSING BUSINESS PROGRAMS.....	18
Carnes, Lana W., Eastern Kentucky University	
Awang, Faridah, Eastern Kentucky University	
Xiao, Qian, Eastern Kentucky University	
A SYSTEMATIC MAPPING STUDY OF BEEKEEPING .....	25
Conner, Joshua, Appalachian State University	
Hassler, Edgar, Appalachian State University	
Mandarino, Alexander, Appalachian State University	
ADD AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: IS ANYONE PAYING ATTENTION?.....	30
Koen, Clifford M., East Tennessee State University	
AN APPROACH TO COMPARING ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE ACROSS REGIONS: SIXTH AND NINTH CIRCUITS.....	35
Michael M. McKinney, East Tennessee State University	
Douglas P. Dotterweich, East Tennessee State University	
AN ECONOPHYSICS APPROACH TO UNDERSTAND THE NETWORK STRUCTURES AND STATISTICAL VALIDATION OF STOCK MARKETS.....	39
Tang, Yong, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China	
University of Fribourg	
Xiong, Jason (Jie), Appalachian State University	
Luo, Yong, Ningbo University of Technology	
Zhang, Yi-Cheng, University of Fribourg	
BUILDING SALES PEOPLE: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A PROFESSIONAL SALES MENTORSHIP PROGRAM.....	46
Karen Hood Hopkins, Eastern Kentucky University	
Christopher D. Hopkins, Clemson University	

## Table of Contents (Cont.)

COMPETENCY DEVELOPMENT IN UNIVERSITY BUSINESS STUDENTS: A MULTIPERSPECTIVE ANALYSIS.....	52
Tanel, Karlee, Student, EKV Honors College Eastern Kentucky University	
Roberson, Michael, Eastern Kentucky University	
CONSTRUCTING FUTURE BUSINESS LEADERS: EVALUATING A MIXED METHODS APPROACH TO LEADERSHIP EDUCATION IN AN MBA CURRICULUM .....	59
Moore, Tom W., East Tennessee State University	
Gorman, C. Allen, East Tennessee State University	
DISPLAYING CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: HOW ONLINE PLATFORMS AFFECT PERCEPTIONS OF ORGANIZATIONS.....	67
Nile Latowsky, Appalachian State University	
Casher Belinda, Appalachian State University	
Nkem Obi-Melekwe, Appalachian State University	
Katherine Lesniak, Appalachian State University	
Shawn Bergman, Appalachian State University	
EVALUATION OF IT ETHICAL SCENARIOS: A MULTIDIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS.....	76
King, Kristen L., Eastern Kentucky University	
Graham, Julia C., The University of Alabama in Huntsville	
EXPLORING THE UTILITY OF MINDFULNESS AND SELF-REGULATION ASSIGNMENTS FOR BUSINESS STUDENTS.....	82
Roberson, Michael, Eastern Kentucky University	
FACTORS THAT DETERMINE THE DECISION TO MAJOR IN ACCOUNTING: REPORTING FROM A SURVEY OF ACCOUNTING GRADUATES.....	89
Sam K. Formby, Appalachian State University	
B. Dawn Medlin, Appalachian State University	
Sandra A. Vannoy, Appalachian State University	
IMPLICIT STARTUP SUBSIDIES .....	96
Robert Beach, East Tennessee State University	
IMPROVING STUDENT EFFORT ON A LEARNING OUTCOME ASSESSMENT: BENCHMARKS, OPTIONS, AND RESULTS.....	100
Roberson, Michael, Eastern Kentucky University	
Erekson, Thomas, Eastern Kentucky University	

## Table of Contents (Cont.)

LOOKING BACK – LOOKING FORWARD STOCK MARKET VALUATIONS AND COMPARISONS.....	106
Faidley, Joel K., East Tennessee State University	
MOBILE DISTRACTIONS: A RISKY DRIVING BEHAVIOR.....	114
Medlin, B. Dawn, Appalachian State University	
Choi, Hoon S., Appalachian State University	
Xiong, Jason (Jie), Appalachian State University	
Houlik, Zach, Appalachian State University	
NON-OWNERHIP: A CONSUMER PERSPECTIVE OF CLOTHING LIBRARIES.....	119
Pia A. Albinsson, Appalachian State University	
B. Yasanthi Perera, Brock University	
ONLINE STUDENTS DEMOGRAPHICS AND MOTIVATIONS TO ENROLL IN CLASS.....	124
Billings, Viviane, Eastern Kentucky University	
Zhuang, Weiling, Eastern Kentucky University	
ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT NAVIGATION: BUILDING A COMPREHENSIVE CONFLICT MANAGEMENT COURSE.....	128
Polin, Beth, Eastern Kentucky University	
QR CODE UTILIZATION: A DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD.....	134
Medlin, B. Dawn, Appalachian State University	
Vannoy, A. Sandra, Appalachian State University	
THE DEBATE ABOUT USING SOCIAL MEDIA TO SCREEN JOB APPLICANTS.....	140
Robles, Marcel M., Eastern Kentucky University	
THE EFFECT OF EVERGREEN LOSS ON PROPERTY VALUES IN WATAUGA COUNTY, NC .....	146
Benjamin J. Sullivan, Appalachian State University	
John C. Whitehead, Appalachian State University	
THE EFFECTS OF WORKPLACE BULLYING ON PROJECT SUCCESS AS MODERATED BY VIRTUAL AND TRADITIONAL TEAM DYNAMICS.....	154
Creasy, Todd, Western Carolina University	
Carnes, Andrew M., Western Carolina University	
THE HIDDEN IMPACT OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS ON FIRM PERFORMANCE.....	164
Vannoy, Sandra A., Appalachian State University	



## Table of Contents (Cont.)

VALIDATING A FRAMEWORK FOR OBSERVATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF BEEHIVE HEALTH: TESTING THE BAYER MODEL.....	171
Volstad, Samuel, Appalachian State University	
Qiu, Xing, Appalachian State University	
Cazier, Joseph A., Appalachian State University	

# ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT NAVIGATION: BUILDING A COMPREHENSIVE CONFLICT MANAGEMENT COURSE

Polin, Beth  
Department of Management, Marketing, and International Business  
College of Business & Technology  
Eastern Kentucky University  
Richmond, KY 40475  
beth.polin@eku.edu

## Key Words:

conflict management, negotiation, course design

## Introduction

Conflict situations are unfortunately a naturally occurring phenomenon in the workplace. Whether it is an employee not voicing concerns because of fear of retaliation, team members not trusting one another, or companies negotiating terms of an agreement, conflict situations are ubiquitous. Because no organization is immune to conflict, employees must enter the workplace equipped with the knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs) to appropriately navigate such situations. Companies recognize this need and call for graduates to possess this unique set of soft skills (e.g. Buhl, nd), as much efficiency can be lost when employees are focused on conflict and not its resolution. Ultimately, conflict can lead to a number of negative individual, team, and organizational outcomes such as decreased commitment, engagement, motivation, and performance as well as increased turnover. While many employees prefer to avoid conflict altogether due to discomfort with such situations, the “costs” of addressing conflict as soon as it arises are much lower than the “costs” associated with those potential and likely negative distal outcomes.

Despite its importance and the request by employers for employees to have these KSAs, few business programs offer a course that comprehensively covers this topic. What “conflict management” or “conflict resolution” courses are offered focus singularly on negotiation which is only one type of conflict situation. Despite an extensive search, there is not even an existing textbook that covers conflict management topics beyond negotiation. This leaves employees with recommendations on handling conflict situations that are either invalid and unreliable such as “hit conflict head-on” (Myatt, 2012), or that are unsympathetic such as “maintain a positive outlook” (Harper, 2012). Students graduating and entering the workforce with the capacity to navigate a variety of conflict situations will not only be a more attractive hire to employers, but they will also be more confident in managing conflict situations when they inevitably arise.

In an effort to provide students the opportunity to develop this critical skillset through both study of and practice in navigating conflict, a course titled *Organizational Conflict Navigation* was designed and offered to junior- and senior-level students in a large, Midwestern, regional university during the 17-week Fall semester of 2016. The course also (a) strengthened the business program by offering expert instruction on a challenging yet critical subject matter, and (b)

increased the number of elective course options for students. This paper first outlines the design of the course and then offers professor and student evaluation regarding the course material.

## Methodology

The course is designed to be interactive and application-based, covering topics in general conflict navigation as well as one specific context of conflict management: negotiation. Instruction includes the sharing of foundational terms, theories, models, and current research in the many topics included. But because navigating conflict situations from both an employee and manager perspective is a *behavior*, it is critical students be provided the opportunity to demonstrate their transfer of knowledge from thought to action. Thus, nearly every class session involves both lecture and activity.

The course opens with a concentrated focus on specific tools that can be applied universally to manage conflict situations. By building this “toolbox” early in the course, students have many opportunities to apply the tools to a variety of conflict situations presented as the class progresses. For example: How can another employee’s perspective truly be understood? How can employees be encouraged to “speak up” in conflict situations? How can a conversation be started and maintained that can lead to conflict resolution? How does trust contribute to more effective working relationships? And how might psychological safety relate to the navigation of conflict situations? A variety of practitioner-based, popular press articles are assigned. The specific tools introduced and examples of relevant readings include:

- Perspective-Taking. Many employees believe that experience with conflict is enough to be successful at navigating complex workplace situations (e.g. Soyer & Hogarth, 2015). The importance of perspective and the dynamics of this behavior are discussed (e.g. Konnikova, 2011).
- Voice. The disadvantages of silence and advantages of voice are considered (e.g. Perlow & Williams, 2003).
- Dialogue. The progress from voice to dialogue as well as the linguistics associated with conversation are examined (e.g. Ehrenfeld, 1999).
- Workplace Trust. Trust violations are often present in conflict situations. The concept of trust and trust repair are studied (e.g. Hurley, 2006).
- Psychological Safety. Employees must believe that they will not encounter emotional or psychological harm if they take appropriate interpersonal risks in the workplace. The process of building a psychologically safe workplace is outlined (e.g. Edmondson, 2011).

Once students are acquainted with the “toolbox,” the course moves into a focus on the environmental dynamics of conflict navigation. This portion of the course specifically addresses a gap in the broader conflict management curriculum: as previously stated, most “conflict management” courses offered by business programs cover only negotiation, which is one specific type of conflict situation; yet employers want employees to have the KSAs needed to successfully manage conflict in situations beyond the give-and-take of making agreements. For example: How can an employee manage a boss who objectively is unfair? Should an employee “play” the “politics game?” Can a team be successful if its members just cannot get along? Does organizational culture positively or negatively contribute to conflict? Is there a way to convince employees who resist change initiatives? And what can an employee do to work effectively with someone who insists

on being difficult, rude, unethical, or critical? A variety of practitioner-based, popular press articles are again utilized. The specific situations introduced and examples of relevant readings include:

- Power Dynamics. Formal structures, informal hierarchies, status, and power often instigate and usually perpetuate conflict (e.g. Bartolomé & Laurent, 1986). These issues are presented.
- Organizational Politics. The concept of political skill and the proper, ethical way to play an active, appropriate role in organizational politics is introduced (e.g. McAllister, Ellen, Perrewé, Ferris, & Hirsch, 2015).
- Team Conflict. Relationship conflict—a major source of team conflict and ultimate failure—is studied in-depth (e.g. Edmondson & Smith, 2006).
- Organizational Structure. Companies' contribution to conflict by means of strong, negative organizational culture is discussed (e.g. Neilson, Pasternack, & Van Nuys, 2005).
- Change Resistance. Competing commitments and lack of communication are often to blame for resistance to change initiatives. These, along with the change process, are considered (e.g. Kegan & Lahey, 2001).
- Difficult Working Styles. There are often simple explanations to negative workplace behavior, but sometimes employees make being difficult to work with their goal. Strategies for managing difficult employees, uncivil employees, and workplace bullies are shared (e.g. Sutton, 2007).
- Ethics. A conversation of ethics in the midst of conflict situations is offered (e.g. Badaracco, 2005).

The second half of the course is centered on the specific conflict situation of negotiation. Just as the “toolbox” was applied in the aforementioned environmental dynamics of conflict, it also is functional for management of negotiation situations. But a negotiation situation involves a number of defining characteristics that clearly differentiate it from other conflict situations. The mere magnitude of literature directed at the subject is evidence of this. As such, it deserves more time and attention as well as use of a text that provides foundational guidance to students who have never formally studied this activity. While nearly every academic publisher offers texts on the subject, this course uses a customized version of *Essentials of Negotiation* (Lewicki, Barry, & Saunders, 2016), a popular text and one that balances academic rigor with useful practice. (This allows selection of particular chapters instead of the full text, thus lowering the purchase price for students.) This portion of the course is designed such that on a weekly basis, students are introduced to a concept in negotiation via lecture, offered a short, in-class, impromptu negotiation activity, and then assigned the preparation for a larger role play negotiation that is carried out the next class session. The specific topics in negotiation introduced, following the aforementioned *Essentials* text, include:

- Negotiation Basics.
- Distributive Negotiation.
- Integrative Negotiation.
- Communication in Negotiation.
- Finding and Using Power in Negotiation.
- Complex Relationship Negotiation.
- Multiparty and Team Negotiation.

During the course, feedback is provided to students through various assessments. The first half of the course (i.e. introduction of “tools” and environmental dynamics) requires the following: weekly readings from Harvard Business Review articles and other credible, practitioner-based sources; weekly online, open-article and open-note quizzes to ensure completion of reading and understanding of concepts; and an essay-format midterm exam. The second half of the course uses different assessment tools necessitated by the nature of negotiation needing much practice for skillset cultivation: negotiation role play case preparations are due for large, in-class negotiation activities; a negotiation journal is written by students focusing on tools/concepts attempted, mistakes made, insights gained, emotions encountered, and future goals set, all in reaction to each negotiation activity; and a final exam tests material mastered throughout the entire course. Note that students are never graded on whether they “win” or “lose” a negotiation. Instead, the classroom environment is designed to be a safe learning space in which students are encouraged to try new concepts and experience their effects. Because negotiation, in particular—and the management of conflict situations, in general—require practice for skill development, students are evaluated on their learning process and other outcome variables rather than on such a dichotomous result.

## **Results and Implications**

Insight can be gained into the success of the class by examining both professor and student perspectives. Speaking from the first, all learning objectives for the course were achieved: by the end of the course, students were able to (a) recognize and explain causes of conflict in organizations; (b) prepare and apply methods of managing conflict in organizations, (c) develop and practice the skillset required to be successful in negotiation situations, (d) improve oral argument skills, and (e) demonstrate professionalism in thought, action, and communication. In fact, the professor is confident the course material strengthened students’ professionalism, as students can now use a skillset prepared by extended study and practice based in valid and reliable research for management of challenging situations instead of relying on unstable emotions. Student performance was impressive, and unsolicited student comments were positive throughout the semester.

Speaking from the second, students were provided an opportunity at the midterm of the course to participate in an optional, anonymous survey that took approximately five minutes to complete. Eight out of thirty students chose to take advantage of this opportunity, resulting in a 27% response rate. A series of questions was asked regarding class time, assignments, access to resources, and each student’s personal approach to class, with responses provided on a six-point Likert scale ranging from *Strongly Disagree* to *Strongly Agree*. In reference to class time, 100% of students agreed to some extent (i.e. *Slightly Agreed*, *Agreed*, or *Strongly Agreed*) that they learned something new each time they attended class, and 88% of students agreed to some extent that they enjoyed attending class and were engaged during class. When asked about assignments, 100% of students agreed to some extent that the topics covered in the course were relevant to navigating conflict in the workplace and would be applied to their future career. Ultimately, 100% of students *Agreed* or *Strongly Agreed* that the course should continue to be offered in the future. Students were given the opportunity, too, to provide open-ended comments about what should be continued when the class was taught again. Comments included “the content was the best,” “I really liked the material since it’s different,” and “the entire class is challenging yet fun.”

This course was successful in its first semester offered. As the course is offered again in the future, more formal feedback needs to be collected so that refinements can be made to achieve the optimal student experience.

## **Conclusion**

No matter the industry, company, or department, all employees will experience conflict in the workplace. For career success, one absolutely must be able to recognize and navigate conflict situations, promote functional conflict, and suppress dysfunctional conflict. Employers are demanding students possess the soft skill of conflict management upon entering the workforce, yet business programs are not providing students the opportunity to develop such a skillset. This course was designed to fill this gap and cover all aspects of conflict situations, including conflict management tools, environmental dynamics of conflict, and negotiation. With its comprehensive design, engaging lectures, interesting readings, and many opportunities for practice and application of concepts, this course was successful in its first offering.

## **References**

- Badaracco, J.L. (2005). Personal values and professional responsibilities. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Bartolomé, F. & Laurent, A. (1986). The manager: Master and servant of power. *Harvard Business Review*, 64(6), 77-81.
- Buhl, L. (nd). 6 soft skills everyone needs and employers look for. *Monster*. <https://www.monster.com/career-advice/article/six-soft-skills-everyone-needs-hot-jobs>.
- Edmondson, A.C. (2011). Strategies for learning from failure. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(4), 48-55.
- Edmondson, A.C. & Smith, D.M. (2006). Too hot to handle? How to manage relationship conflict. *California Management Review*, 49(1), 6-31.
- Ehrenfeld, T. (1999). Can the lion lie down with the lion?: Learning the art of dialogue. *Harvard Business Publishing Newsletters*.
- Harper, J. (2012). 10 tips for tackling the toughest workplace conflicts. *U.S. News and World Report*. <http://money.usnews.com/money/careers/articles/2012/07/18/10-tips-for-tackling-the-toughest-workplace-conflicts>.
- Hurley, R.F. (2006). The decision to trust. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(9), 55-62.
- Kegan, R. & Lahey, L.L. (2001). The real reason people won't change. *Harvard Business Review*, 79(10), 84-92.

Konnikova. (2011). Lessons from Sherlock Holmes: The importance of perspective-taking. *Scientific American*. <https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/guest-blog/lessons-from-sherlock-holmes-the-importance-of-perspective-taking/>.

Lewicki, R.J., Barry, B.M., & Saunders, D.M. (2016). *Essentials of Negotiation, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition*. New York: McGraw Hill.

McAllister, C.P., Ellen III, B.P., Perrewé, P.L., Ferris, G.R., & Hirsch, D.J. (2015). Checkmate: Using political skill to recognize and capitalize on opportunities in the ‘game’ of organizational life. *Business Horizons*.

Myatt, M. (2012). 5 keys of dealing with workplace conflict. *Forbes*. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/mikemyatt/2012/02/22/5-keys-to-dealing-with-workplace-conflict/#144d425215a0>.

Neilson, G.L., Pasternack, B.A., & Van Nuys, K.E. (2005). The passive-aggressive organization. *Harvard Business Review*, 83(10), 82-92.

Perlow, L. & Williams, S. (2003). Is silence killing your company. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(5), 52-58.

Sutton, R.I. (2007). When assholes reign: Tips for surviving nasty people and workplaces. In *The No Asshole Rule*. New York: Warner Business Books.

Soyer, E. & Hogarth, R.M. (2015). Fooled by experience. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(5), 72-77.